arXiv:1305.0710v1 [math.RT] 3 May 2013

A GEOMETRIC SETTING FOR QUANTUM $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$

ZHAOBING FAN AND YIQIANG LI

Abstract. A geometric categorification is given for arbitrary-large-finite-dimensional quotients of quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and the tensor product of its simple modules. The modified quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ of Clark-Wang, a new version in this paper and the modified quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ are isomorphic to each other over a field containing $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $\sqrt{-1}$.

1. Introduction

1.1. In the classical work [L90, L91, L93] of Lusztig, he gives a geometric construction of the negative half of the quantum algebra associated to a Kac-Moody Lie algebra. It is later shown by Vasserot and Varagnolo in [VV11] that the extension algebra of Lusztig's complexes is isomorphic to the KLR algebra, a.k.a. quiver Hecke algebra, of symmetric type introduced independently by Khovanov-Lauda and Rouquier in [KL09] and [R08], respectively. The KLR algebras admit an odd analogue, the so-called quiver Hecke superalgebras by Kang-Kashiwara-Tsuchioka [KKT] (see also [EKL11] and [W09]). By using representation theory of quiver Hecke superalgebras, Hill and Wang [HW12] give a categorification of the negative half of a covering algebra which specializes to the negative half of a quantum algebra at $\pi = 1$ and that of a quantum superalgebra when $\pi = -1$. See also [EKL11, KKO12, KKO13].

To this end, it is natural to ask if one can categorify the negative part of Hill-Wang's covering algebra and, moreover, the covering algebra itself (or its modified form) by using representation theory of KLR alegbras, or equivalently from Lusztig's geometric setting. This question is first raised by Weiqiang Wang and answered affirmatively for the negative part of the covering algebra by the authors in [FL12] and [CFLW] together with Clark and Wang. A new idea in answering this question is that the Tate twist (mod 4) from Lusztig's geometric setting categorifies the second parameter π in the covering algebra.

After the negative half of the covering algebra is categorified in Lusztig's geometric setting, we are forced intuitively to search for a categorification of the covering algebra in a geometric setting analogous to the one for the negative halves. Indeed, there is a such setting for quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, one of the smallest quantum superalgebras. It is in Beilinson-Lusztig-MacPherson's geometric construction of the q-Schur algebra of type A, a quotient of a quantum algebra of type A in [BLM90].

As one of the main results in this paper, we give a geometric construction of an arbitrary large finite-dimensional quotient of quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, as well as the tensor product of its highest weight modules. We follow the approach taken in our previous work by adding the Tate twist, or the mixed structure, to the geometric setting laid out in [BLM90] involving the

---

Date: May 6, 2013.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 14F43.

Key words and phrases. Quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, quantum modified algebra, tensor product module, categorification, perverse sheaf.

geometry of two copies of Grassmannians. With a slight, though non-trivial, modification of the generators for the geometric construction of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ in [BLM90], we obtain a quotient of quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$. In this realization, the Tate twist corresponds to the imaginary unit $t = \sqrt{-1}$. We tend to call this quotient the q-Schur algebra of quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ because it gets identified with that of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ immediately from the construction. Along the way, we also obtain a geometric construction of tensor products of finite dimensional simple modules of quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ following [Z07] (see also [GL92]).

Just like the authors' previous work, the simple perverse sheaves of weight zero arising from this construction form a basis for the categorified quotients and tensor product modules. The structure constants with respect to this basis possess again a positivity property in an appropriate sense (see Theorem 4.9). We provide with an algebraic characterization, up to a sign, of the basis by using a bilinear form, integrality and bar invariant properties.

In the last section, we formulate a new version of modified quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ following [BLM90] and [L93]. As far as we can tell, this is the most natural definition from our presentation of quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and its geometric construction. We further observe that our modified quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ is isomorphic to that of Clark-Wang in [CW12], and, surprisingly , to Lusztig's modified quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ over a field containing $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ and $t = \sqrt{-1}$. We arrive at the latter isomorphism by observing the facts that quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ have the same q-Schur algebras from the geometric construction and modified versions of quantum algebras sit inside the limit of the projective system of q-Schur algebras. (The proof turns out to be extremely easy.) A first consequence of the isomorphism of modified quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ is that there exists a basis in the modified quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, coming from the canonical basis of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, whose structure constants are in $\mathbb{N}[v, v^{-1}]$. Such a positivity property in quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ is rather mysterious, given the fact that the super sign "-1" is essentially used in the definition of the quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$. In other words, in modified quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, the super sign "-1" (or t^2 for the modified covering algebra) can be moved outside the structure. A second consequence of the isomorphism is that the categories of weight modules of quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ are isomorphic to each other. Moreover, we are able to construct very explicit and simple functors of isomorphism between the two categories of weight modules. A third consequence is that Lauda's categorification ([Lau10]) of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ can be served as a version of categorifications of modified quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$.

We remark that the results obtained in this paper can be rephrased in the setting of the covering algebras (or their modified versions). We stick to quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ for simplicity.

The coincidence of modified quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ is somehow predicted by various results in literature and, in return, explains why the representation theories of the two algebras are identical. In [CFLW], we will show that modified quantum algebras and superalgebras (or covering algebras) are isomorphic in general cases.

Meanwhile, Weiqiang Wang informed us that the equivalence of categories of weight modules is known to him more than a year ago using the work [Lan02]. This equivalence is also proved independently by Kang-Kashiwara-Oh ([KKO13]) in a completely different way and a more general setting.

1.2. We thank Sean Clark and Weiqiang Wang for numerous interesting discussions and their generosities in sharing their ideas with us. We thank Alexander Ellis and Aaron Lauda for sending us their slides [E12] and [Lau12]. Y. Li is partially supported by NSF grant: DMS-1160351.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
3. A geometrization of **U** and its module 7
4. A categorification of tensor product module 13
5. The modified quantum algebra $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ and weight modules 21
References 23

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Let v, t be two independent indeterminates. For any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$, we set

$$[n]_v = \tfrac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}, \qquad [n]^!_v = \textstyle\prod_{k=1}^n [k]_v, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_v = \tfrac{[n]^!_v}{[k]^!_v [n-k]^!_v},$$
$$[n]_{v,t} = \tfrac{(vt)^n - (vt^{-1})^{-n}}{vt - (vt^{-1})^{-1}}, \quad [n]^!_{v,t} = \textstyle\prod_{k=1}^n [k]_{v,t}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{v,t} = \tfrac{[n]^!_{v,t}}{[k]^!_{v,t} [n-k]^!_{v,t}}.$$

For any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \leq n$, one can easily check that

$$[n]_{v,t} = t^{n-1} [n]_v, \qquad [n]^!_{v,t} = t^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} [n]^!_v, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{v,t} = t^{k(n-k)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_v.$$

Throughout this paper, we shall set $t = \mathbf{i}$, the complex number such that $\mathbf{i}^2 = -1$ unless otherwise specified. Note that this is just for simplicity, we could have assumed that t is a variable subject to $t^2 = -1$. In this case, $[n]_{v,t}$ is the same as $[n]^{\pi}_{(vt^{-1})}$ in [CW12].

The quantum algebra **U** associated to the ortho-symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ is, by definition, an associative $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}](v)$-algebra with 1 generated by symbols E, F, K and K^{-1} and subject to the following defining relations.

$$KK^{-1} = 1 = K^{-1}K. \tag{S1}$$

$$KE = v^2 t^{-2} EK, \;\; KF = v^{-2} t^2 FK. \tag{S2}$$

$$EF - t^2 FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}. \tag{S3}$$

The above presentation of the algebra **U** is new. For the reader's convenience, we provide a dictionary between our presentation and the algebra U_1 in [CW12] as follows.

U	E	F	$t^{-1}K$	vt^{-1}	t^2
U_1	E	F	K	q	π

The algebra **U** admits a super algebra structure by setting the parity function to be $p(E) = p(F) = 1$ and $p(K) = p(K^{-1}) = 0$. By convention, the multiplication on $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}$ is defined by

$$(x\otimes y)(x'\otimes y')=t^{2p(y)p(x')}xx'\otimes yy',$$

where x,y,x' and y' are homogeneous elements in $\mathbf{U}$. This gives a super algebra structure on $\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$.

Proposition 2.2. *There is a unique superalgebra homomorphism* $\Delta:\mathbf{U}\to\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$ *defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta(K)=K\otimes K, &&\Delta(K^{-1})=K^{-1}\otimes K^{-1},\\ &\Delta(E)=E\otimes 1+K\otimes E, &&\Delta(F)=1\otimes F+F\otimes K^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since E,F,K and K^{-1} are algebraic generators of $\mathbf{U}$, it is enough to check Δ satisfying the defining relation of $\mathbf{U}$. It is easy to verify the relations (S1) and (S2). We now check the relation (S3).

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF)&=(E\otimes 1+K\otimes E)(1\otimes F+F\otimes K^{-1})\\&=E\otimes F+EF\otimes K^{-1}+K\otimes EF+t^2KF\otimes EK^{-1}.\\ \Delta(FE)&=(1\otimes F+F\otimes K^{-1})(E\otimes 1+K\otimes E)\\&=t^2E\otimes F+K\otimes FE+FE\otimes K^{-1}+FK\otimes K^{-1}E.\end{aligned}$$

By using $t^2=-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF&+FE)=(EF+FE)\otimes K^{-1}+K\otimes(EF+FE)\\&=\frac{K-K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}\otimes K^{-1}+K\otimes\frac{K-K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}=\frac{1}{v-v^{-1}}(K\otimes K-K^{-1}\otimes K^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $\Delta(\frac{K-K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}})=\frac{1}{v-v^{-1}}(K\otimes K-K^{-1}\otimes K^{-1})$. This finishes the proof. □

By Proposition 2.2, the pair $(\mathbf{U},\Delta)$ is a coalgebra.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $F^{(n)}=\frac{F^n}{[n]^!_{v,t}}$, *for any* $n\in\mathbb{N}$. *We have*

$$EF^{(n)}=t^{2n}F^{(n)}E+t^{n-1}F^{(n-1)}\frac{v^{1-n}K-v^{n-1}K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}.$$

Proof. By (2.1), it is enough to show that

$$EF^n=(-1)^nF^nE+(-1)^{n-1}[n]_vF^{n-1}\frac{v^{1-n}K-v^{n-1}K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}.\tag{1}$$

We shall show it by induction on n. The case that $n=1$ is trivial. Assume that (1) holds for $n=m$. By using (S2) and $t^2=-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}EF^{m+1}&=(-1)^mF^mEF+(-1)^mF^m[m]_v\frac{v^{-(m+1)}K-v^{m+1}K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}\\&=(-1)^{m+1}F^{m+1}E+(-1)^m\frac{F^m}{v-v^{-1}}(K-K^{-1}+[m]_v(v^{-(m+1)}K-v^{m+1}K^{-1}))\\&=(-1)^{m+1}F^{m+1}E+(-1)^m\frac{F^m}{v-v^{-1}}[m+1]_v(v^{-m}K-v^mK^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that (1) holds for $n=m+1$. □

Proposition 2.4. *For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist two non-isomorphic $(d+1)$-dimensional simple highest weight* $\mathbf{U}$*-modules $\Lambda_d^{\pm}$ spanned by vectors $\xi_0, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_d$, where Λ_d^{+} has the* $\mathbf{U}$*-action given by*

$$F \cdot \xi_r = t^r[r+1]_v\xi_{r+1}, \quad E \cdot \xi_r = t^{r-1}[d+1-r]_v\xi_{r-1}, \quad K \cdot \xi_r = t^{2r}v^{d-2r}\xi_r, \tag{2}$$

and Λ_d^{-} has the $\mathbf{U}$*-action on given by*

$$F \cdot \xi_r = t^r[r+1]_v\xi_{r+1}, \quad E \cdot \xi_r = -t^{r-1}[d+1-r]_v\xi_{r-1}, \quad K \cdot \xi_r = -t^{2r}v^{d-2r}\xi_r. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, any $(d+1)$-dimensional simple $\mathbf{U}$*-module is isomorphic to one of them.*

Proof. Let ξ_0 be a highest weight vector in $\Lambda_d^{\pm}$, i.e., $F^{d+1}\xi_0 = 0$, $F^d\xi_0 \neq 0$ and $E\xi_0 = 0$. Assume that $K \cdot \xi_0 = \psi\xi_0$. Since $EF^{(d+1)}\xi_0 = 0 = F^{(d+1)}E\xi_0$, by Lemma 2.3, we have $F^{(d)}\frac{v^{-d}\psi - v^d\psi^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}\xi_0 = 0$. Since $F^{(d)}\xi_0 \neq 0$, we further have $v^{-d}\psi - v^d\psi^{-1} = 0$, i.e., $\psi = \pm v^d$. To give the $\mathbf{U}$-module Λ_d^{+}, we choose $\psi = v^d$.

Let $\xi_r = F^{(r)}\xi_0$. Then we have $F\xi_r = FF^{(r)}\xi_0 = [r+1]_{v,t}F^{(r+1)}\xi_0 = [r+1]_{v,t}\xi_{r+1}$ and $K\xi_r = KF^{(r)}\xi_0 = v^{-2r}t^{2r}F^{(r)}K\xi_0 = v^{-2r}t^{2r}v^dF^{(r)}\xi_0 = v^{d-2r}t^{2r}\xi_r$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\xi_r = EF^{(r)}\xi_0 &= t^{2r}F^{(r)}E\xi_0 + t^{r-1}F^{(r-1)}\frac{v^{1-r}K - v^{r-1}K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}\xi_0 \\ &= t^{r-1}F^{(r-1)}\frac{v^{1-r}v^d - v^{r-1}v^{-d}}{v-v^{-1}}\xi_0 = t^{r-1}\frac{v^{d+1-r} - v^{r-1-d}}{v-v^{-1}}\xi_{r-1} = t^{r-1}[d+1-r]_v\xi_{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if we choose $\psi = -v^d$, then we get the $\mathbf{U}$-module Λ_d^{-}. ☐

In Sections 3 and 4, we will give a geometric categorification of Λ_d^{+}. The module Λ_d^{-} can be categorified similarly. From now on, we denote Λ_d instead of Λ_d^{+} for simplicity. The module Λ_d is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbf{U}$-module by setting $p(\xi_r) = 1$ if r is odd and $p(\xi_r) = 0$ otherwise. For any $\mathbf{U}$-modules M and N, the $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}$-module structure on $M \otimes N$ is defined by

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (m \otimes n) = (-1)^{p(b)p(m)} am \otimes bn,$$

for any homogenous element $b \in \mathbf{U}$ and $m \in M$. Moreover, the $\mathbf{U}$-module structure on $M \otimes N$ is defined by

$$a \cdot (m \otimes n) = \Delta(a)(m \otimes n), \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{U}, m \otimes n \in M \otimes N.$$

For any $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$, let $\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}} = \Lambda_{d_1} \otimes \Lambda_{d_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda_{d_m}$.

2.5. In this section, we review briefly the theory of mixed perverse sheaves. We refer to Chapter 8 in [L93] and [BBD82] for more details.

Let k be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$, a finite field of q elements. Let X be an algebraic variety over k. Let l be a fixed prime number which is invertible in k, and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ be the algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{Q}_l$ of l-adic numbers. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}_c^b(X)$ the bounded derived category of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-constructible sheaves on X. Let $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consisting of all mixed complexes.

If L is a pure complex, we denote by $\mathrm{wt}(L)$ the weight of L.

Given any integer n, let $[n] : \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ be the shift functor and $(n) : \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ be the Tate twist functor. We denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the Verdier dual functor. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties. There are functors $f^*, f^! : \mathcal{D}(Y) \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $f_*, f_! : \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathcal{D}(Y)$. We list some properties of the functors $f^*, f_!, f^!, f_*, [n]$ and (n).

(1) Simple perverse sheaves are pure.
(2) Functors (n) and $[n]$ commute with all functors $f^*, f_!, f^!, f_*, [m]$ and (m).
(3) $\mathbb{D}f_! = f_*\mathbb{D}, \quad \mathbb{D}f^! = f^*\mathbb{D}, \quad \mathbb{D}(L[n](m)) = (\mathbb{D}L)[-n](-m)$.
(4) $\mathrm{wt}(L[n]) = \mathrm{wt}(L) + n,\ \mathrm{wt}(L(n)) = \mathrm{wt}(L) - 2n,\ \mathrm{wt}(\mathbb{D}L) = -\mathrm{wt}(L)$ for any pure complex L.
(5) If $f : X \to Y$ is smooth with connected fibers of equal dimension, then $\mathrm{wt}(f^*L) = \mathrm{wt}(L)$ for any pure complex L.
(6) If $f : X \to Y$ is a proper morphism, then $\mathrm{wt}(f_!L) = \mathrm{wt}(L)$ for any pure complex L.
(7) $f_!(L \otimes f^*M) \simeq f_!L \otimes M, \quad f^*(L \otimes M) \simeq f^*L \otimes f^*M$.
(8) (Base change) If the following diagram is a cartesian square and f is a proper map,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
X' \arrow[r, "g"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

then we have $g^*f_!L \simeq f'_!g'^*L$ for any complex L.

2.6. Suppose that X_1, X_2 and X_3 are three algebraic varieties over k. Let $p_{ij} : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \to X_i \times X_j$ be the projection to the (i,j)-factor, for $(i,j) = (1,2), (2,3), (1,3)$. For any $L \in \mathcal{D}(X_1 \times X_2)$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}(X_2 \times X_3)$, we set

$$L \circ M = (p_{13})_!(p_{12}^*L \otimes p_{23}^*M) \in \mathcal{D}(X_1 \times X_3).$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let X_4 be a forth variety over k, and N be any complex in $\mathcal{D}(X_3 \times X_4)$. We have $(L \circ M) \circ N \simeq L \circ (M \circ N)$.*

Proof. Let $q_{ij} : X_1 \times X_3 \times X_4 \to X_i \times X_j$ be the projection to the (i,j)-factors, $r_{ijk} : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \times X_4 \to X_i \times X_j \times X_k$ be the projection to the (i,j,k)-factors, and $s_{ij} : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \times X_4 \to X_i \times X_j$ be the projection to the (i,j)-factors. By definitions and the base change formula 2.5 (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(L \circ M) \circ N &= (q_{14})_!(q_{13}^*(L \circ M) \otimes q_{34}^*N) = (q_{14})_!(q_{13}^*((p_{13})_!(p_{12} * L \otimes p_{23}^*M)) \otimes q_{34}^*N) \\
&= (q_{14})_!((r_{134})_!(r_{123}^*(p_{12}^*L \otimes p_{23}^*M)) \otimes q_{34}^*N) = (q_{14})_!((r_{134})_!(s_{12}^*L \otimes s_{23}^*M) \otimes q_{34}^*N) \\
&= (q_{14})_!(r_{134})_!(s_{12}^*L \otimes s_{23}^*M \otimes r_{134}^*q_{34}^*N) = (s_{14})_!(s_{12}^*L \otimes s_{23}^*M \otimes s_{34}^*N).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$L \circ (M \circ N) = (s_{14})_!(s_{12}^*L \otimes s_{23}^*M \otimes s_{34}^*N).$$

Lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.8. *For any $L, M \in \mathcal{D}(X_1 \times X_2)$ and $N \in \mathcal{D}(X_2 \times X_3)$, we have*

$$(L \oplus M) \circ N = (L \circ N) \oplus (M \circ N).$$

Proof. By the additivity of the functors $(p_{13})_!, p_{12}^*$ and $\otimes$. □

3. A GEOMETRIZATION OF $\mathbf{U}$ AND ITS MODULE

3.1. We fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We simply write $\mathcal{F}_r$ $(0 \leq r \leq d)$ for the Grassmannian of all dimension r subspaces in k^d. If we want to emphasize the total dimension d, we use notation $\mathcal{F}_r^d$ instead of $\mathcal{F}_r$. The group $G = GL(k^d)$ naturally acts on $\mathcal{F}_r$. Let G act on $\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r'}$ diagonally. By [BLM90, Sec. 1], the G-orbits in $\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r'}$ are parametrized by the set $\Theta_d(r, r')$ of all 2×2 matrices (a_{ij}) such that $a_{11} + a_{12} = r$, $a_{11} + a_{21} = r'$ and $\sum_{i,j=1,2} a_{ij} = d$. In fact, given any pair $(F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r'}$, the corresponding matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} |F \cap F'| & |F/F \cap F'| \\ |F + F'/F| & d - |F + F'| \end{bmatrix},$$

where $|V| = \dim V$. Let

$$\Theta_d = \sqcup_{r,r'} \Theta_d(r, r').$$

We define the following closed subvarieties in $\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r'}$ for certain r, r'.

$$\mathcal{F}_{r,r+1} = \{(F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r+1} | F \subset F'\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{r,r-1} = \{(F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r-1} | F \supset F'\},$$
$$\mathcal{F}_{r,r} = \{(F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r | F = F'\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}_{r,r+1}$ is empty unless $0 \leq r \leq d-1$, $\mathcal{F}_{r,r-1}$ is empty unless $1 \leq r \leq d$, and $\mathcal{F}_{r,r}$ is empty unless $0 \leq r \leq d$. The associated constant sheaves with certain shifts and Tate twists are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{d-2r,d-2(r+1)} &= (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r+1}}[d-(r+1)](\frac{d-1}{2}) \quad \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r+1}), \\ F_{d-2r,d-2(r-1)} &= (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r-1}}[r-1](r-1) \quad \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r-1}), \\ 1_{d-2r} &= (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r}} \quad \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r). \end{aligned}$$

Here we abuse the notations: $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{X_1} \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ means that the extension by zero of the complex $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{X_1}$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ for a given subvariety X_1 in X. If the variety $\mathcal{F}_{r,r'}$ is empty, the associated complex is zero. For simplicity, we write $E_{r,r+1}, F_{r,r-1}, 1_r$ for $E_{d-2r,d-2(r+1)}, F_{d-2r,d-2(r-1)}, 1_{d-2r}$, respectively. More generally, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{r,r+a} &= \{(F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r+a} | F \subset F'\}, \quad \forall 0 \leq r, a, r+a \leq d; \\ \mathcal{F}_{r,r-a} &= \{(F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r-a} | F \supset F'\}, \quad \forall 0 \leq r, a, r-a \leq d. \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned} E_{d-2r,d-2(r+a)} &= (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r+a}}[a(d-(r+a))](\frac{a(d-a)}{2}) \quad \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r+a}), \\ F_{d-2r,d-2(r-a)} &= (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r-a}}[a(r-a)](a(r-a)) \quad \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r-a}). \end{aligned}$$

We also use the notation $E_{r,r+a}$ and $F_{r,r-a}$ for $E_{d-2r,d-2(r+a)}$, $F_{d-2r,d-2(r-a)}$, respectively.

Note that the above defined subvarieties are certain G-orbits in $\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r'}$ whose corresponding matrices are either upper or lower triangular. The complexes defined are intersection cohomology complexes attached to the G-orbits up to shifts and Tate twists.

In general, for any $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2}$, we set

$$\{A\} = IC(O_A)[-r(A)](-r(A)/2), \quad \forall A \in \Theta_d,$$

where O_A is the corresponding G-orbit, $IC(O_A)$ is the intersection cohomology complex attached to the closure of O_A ([BBD82]), and $r(A) = (a_{11} + a_{12})(a_{21} + a_{22})$ is the dimension of the image of O_A under the first projection.

For any complex $L \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r'})$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r''} \times \mathcal{F}_{r'''})$, we set $L \circ M$ to be the complex defined by the convolution product in Section 2.6 if $r' = r''$, and $L \circ M = 0$ otherwise.

Lemma 3.2. *(a).* $E_{r,r+a} \circ E_{r+a,r+a+1} \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a} E_{r,r+a+1}[a-2j](a-j)$.

(b). $F_{r,r-a} \circ F_{r-a,r-a-1} \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a} F_{r,r-a-1}[a-2j](a-j)$.

Proof. The support of the complex $p_{12}^* E_{r,r+a} \otimes p_{23}^* E_{r+a,r+a+1}$ is

$$S = \{(F, F', F'') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r+a} \times \mathcal{F}_{r+a+1} | F \subset F' \subset F''\}.$$

The restriction of p_{13} to S is a $\mathbb{P}^a$-bundle. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{r,r+a} \circ E_{r+a,r+a+1} &= (p_{13})_!(p_{12}^* E_{r,r+a} \otimes p_{23}^* E_{r+a,r+a+1}) \\ &= (p_{13})_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[a(d-r-a) + d - (r+a) - 1]\left(\frac{a(d-a)}{2} + \frac{d-1}{2}\right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r+a+1}}[-2j](-j)[(a+1)(d-(r+a)) - 1]\left(\frac{(a+1)(d-1-a)}{2} + a\right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a} E_{r,r+a+1}[a-2j](a-j). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the support of $p_{12}^* F_{r,r-a} \otimes p_{23}^* F_{r-a,r-a-1}$ is

$$S = \{(F, F', F'') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r-a} \times \mathcal{F}_{r-a-1} | F \supset F' \supset F''\}.$$

The restriction of p_{13} to S is a $\mathbb{P}^a$-bundle. By a similar argument as above, we have the second identity. ☐

Lemma 3.3. *(a).* $1_r \circ 1_{r'} \simeq \delta_{r,r'} 1_r$,

$$(b).\quad E_{r,r+1} \circ 1_{r'} \simeq \delta_{r+1,r'} E_{r,r+1}, \qquad 1_{r'} \circ E_{r,r+1} \simeq \delta_{r',r} E_{r,r+1},$$

$$(c).\quad F_{r,r-1} \circ 1_{r'} \simeq \delta_{r-1,r'} F_{r,r-1}, \qquad 1_{r'} \circ F_{r,r-1} \simeq \delta_{r',r} F_{r,r-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (d).\quad & E_{r,r+1} \circ F_{r+1,r} \oplus \oplus_{0 \le j < 2r-d} 1_r[2r-2j-1-d]\left(2r-j-\frac{d+1}{2}\right) \simeq \\ & \qquad F_{r,r-1} \circ E_{r-1,r}(1) \oplus \oplus_{0 \le j < d-2r} 1_r[d-2j-1-2r]\left(\frac{d-1}{2} - j\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us show that $1_r \circ 1_{r'} \simeq \delta_{r,r'} 1_r$. Assume that $r = r'$. As before, let $p_{ij} : \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r \to \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r$ be the projection to the (i,j)-factor. By the definition of 1_r, the support of $p_{12}^* 1_r \otimes p_{23}^* 1_r$ is the variety $S = \{(F, F', F'') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r | F = F' = F''\}$, i.e., the diagonal of the variety $\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r$. So the image of S under p_{ij} is exactly $\mathcal{F}_{r,r}$ for $(i,j) = (1,2), (2,3), (1,3)$. Moreover, the restriction of p_{ij} to S is an isomorphism. Thus the restriction of $1_r \circ 1_r$ to $\mathcal{F}_{r,r}$ is the constant sheaf. Therefore, we have $1_r \circ 1_r \simeq 1_r$. If $r \neq r'$, then the support of $p_{12}^* 1_r \otimes p_{23}^* 1_r$ is empty. So we have $1_r \circ 1_{r'} \simeq 0$.

Next, let us show that $E_{r,r+1} 1_{r'} \simeq \delta_{r+1,r'} E_{r,r+1}$. Assume that $r' = r+1$. The support of $p_{12}^* E_{r,r+1} \otimes p_{23}^* 1_r$ is $S = \{(F, F', F'') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r+1} \times \mathcal{F}_{r+1} | F \subset F', F' = F''\}$. By definition, the restriction of $p_{12}^* E_{r,r+1} \otimes p_{23}^* 1_r$ to S is $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[d-(r+1)](\frac{d-1}{2})$. Note that the restriction of p_{13} to S is again an isomorphism, and the image of p_{13} is $\mathcal{F}_{r,r+1}$. Therefore we have $E_{r,r+1}1_{r+1} = E_{r,r+1}$. For the case of $r' \neq r$, the identity holds by definitions. One may show similar identities in the lemma in a similar way.

Finally, let us show that the last identity in the lemma. Let us compute the complex $E_{r,r+1} \circ F_{r+1,r}$. The support of $p_{12}^* E_{r,r+1} \otimes p_{23}^* F_{r+1,r}$ is

$$S = \{(F, F', F'') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r+1} \times \mathcal{F}_r | F \subset F' \supset F''\}.$$

Let $S_1 = \{(F, F', F'') \in S | F = F''\}$ and $S_2 = S \backslash S_1$. Then

$$S_2 \simeq S_2' \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \{(F, F'') \in F_r \times F_r ||(F + F'')/F| = 1 = |(F + F'')/F''|\}.$$

Observe that the restriction p_{13}' of p_{13} to S_1 is a fiber bundle of fiber isomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{d-r-1}$, while the restriction p_{13}'' of p_{13} to S_2 is an isomorphism. Further, the image of p_{13}' is $\mathcal{F}_{r,r}$, and the image of p_{13}'' is S_2'. The restriction of $p_{12}^* E_{r,r+1} \otimes p_{23}^* F_{r+1,r}$ to S is

$$(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[d - (r + 1)](\frac{d-1}{2}) \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[r](r) = (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[d - 1](\frac{d-1}{2} + r).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} E_{r,r+1} \circ F_{r+1,r} &= (p_{13})_!(p_{12}^* E_{r,r+1} \otimes p_{23}^* F_{r+1,r}) = (p_{13})_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[d-1](\frac{d-1}{2} + r) \\ &= (p_{13}')_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{S_1}[d-1](\frac{d-1}{2} + r) \oplus (p_{13}'')_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{S_2}[d-1](\frac{d-1}{2} + r) \\ &= (\oplus_{j=0}^{d-r-1}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r}}[-2j](-j) \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{S_2'})[d-1](\frac{d-1}{2} + r), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equation is due to [L93, 8.1.6].

Similarly, we compute $F_{r,r-1} \circ E_{r-1,r}$ and get

$$F_{r,r-1} \circ E_{r-1,r}(1) = (\oplus_{j=0}^{r-1}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r}}[-2j](-j) \oplus (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{S_2''})[d-1](\frac{d-1}{2} + r),$$

where $S_2'' = \{(F, F'') \in \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_r ||F/(F \cap F'')| = 1 = |F''/(F \cap F'')|\}$. Observe that $S_2' = S_2''$ and

$$(\oplus_{j=0}^{d-r-1} 1_r \oplus \oplus_{d-r \leq j < r} 1_r)[-2j](-j) = (\oplus_{j=0}^{r-1} 1_r \oplus \oplus_{r \leq j < d-r} 1_r)[-2j](-j).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &E_{r,r+1} \circ F_{r+1,r} \oplus \oplus_{0 \leq j < 2r-d} 1_r[2r - 2j - 1 - d](2r - j - \frac{d+1}{2}) \\ &\qquad \simeq F_{r,r-1} \circ E_{r-1,r}(1) \oplus \oplus_{0 \leq j < d-2r} 1_r[d - 2j - 1 - 2r](\frac{d-1}{2} - j). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.4. (*a*). $E_{r-a,r} 1_r F_{r,r-b}(n_1) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} r-a-b & b \\ a & d-r \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad \forall d \leq (r-a) + (r-b);$

(*b*). $F_{r+b,r} 1_r E_{r,r+a}(n_2) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} r & b \\ a & d-r-a-b \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad \forall d \geq (r+a) + (r+b);$

(*c*). $E_{r-a,r} 1_r F_{r,r-b} = F_{d-r+b,d-r} 1_{d-r} E_{d-r,d-r+a}(ab), \qquad$ *if* $d = (r-a) + (r-b),$

where $n_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(a(r-a) + b(r-b))$ *and* $n_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(ar + br)$.

Proof. We prove the first equation. The support of the complex $p_{12}^*E_{r-a,r} \otimes p_{23}^*F_{r,r-b}$ is

$$S = \{(F, F'', F') \in \mathcal{F}_{r-a} \times F_r \times F_{r-b} | F \subset F'' \supset F'\}.$$

By definition, we have

$$E_{r-a,r}F_{r,r-b} = (p_{13})_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[a(d-r)+b(r-b)](\frac{a(d-a)}{2}+b(r-b)).$$

Consider the restriction of p_{13} to S. The image of S under p_{13} consists of the pairs $(F, F') \in \mathcal{F}_{r-a} \times \mathcal{F}_{r-b}$ such that $|F + F'| \leq r$. Thus we have

$$r - a - b \leq |F \cap F'| \leq \min\{r-a, r-b\}.$$

Recall from [BLM90, 2.3] that

$$\begin{aligned} d(A) - r(A) &= a_{11}a_{12} + a_{21}a_{12} + a_{21}a_{22} \\ &= (r-a-b-|F \cap F'|)(d-r+b+|F \cap F'|) + b|F \cap F'| + a(d-r+b). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In particular,

$$d(A) - r(A) = a(d-r) + b(r-b), \quad \text{if} \quad |F \cap F'| = r - a - b.$$

From (4), we see that $p_{13}(S)$ is the orbit closure of the G-orbit whose corresponding matrix is

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} r-a-b & b \\ a & d-r \end{bmatrix}.$$

We claim that

$$\text{The restriction of } p_{13} \text{ to } S \text{ is a small resolution.} \tag{5}$$

Recall that smallness means that the following two conditions are satisfied. (a). $2|p_{13}^{-1}(x)| \leq d(A_0) - d(A)$, for any $x \in O_A \subseteq O_{A_0}$. (b). The equality holds if and only if $A = A_0$.

We show (a). Given any pair (F, F') in $p_{13}(S)$, the dimension of the fiber $p_{13}^{-1}(F, F')$ is

$$|p_{13}^{-1}(F, F')| = |Gr(d - |F + F'|, r - |F + F'|)| = (r - |F + F'|)(d - r). \tag{6}$$

Since $r(A_0) = r(A)$,

$$2|p_{13}^{-1}(x)| - (d(A_0) - d(A)) = 2|p_{13}^{-1}(x)| - (d(A_0) - r(A_0)) - (d(A) - r(A))$$

By (4) and (6), we have

$$2|p_{13}^{-1}(x)| - (d(A_0) - d(A)) = (r-a-b-|F \cap F'|)(-d+r+|F \cap F'|) \leq 0. \tag{7}$$

This shows (a). The inequality (7) becomes equality if and only if $|F \cap F'| = r - a - b$. So (b) holds. It is clear that the restriction of p_{13} to $p_{13}^{-1}(O_{A_0})$ is an isomorphism. The claim follows.

By (3.1) , (3.1) and (5), we have $E_{r-a,r}F_{r,r-b} \simeq \{A_0\}$ up to a Tate twist. Since $\mathrm{wt}(\{A_0\}) = 0$, by checking weight of $E_{r-a,r}F_{r,r-b}$, we have $n_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(a(r-a) + b(r-b))$.

The second equation can be shown similarly. The third one follows from the first two equations. ☐

3.5. Consider the following complex

$$L_1 \circ L_2 \circ \cdots \circ L_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{8}$$

where L_i $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$ are either $E_{r,r+1}$, or $F_{r,r-1}$, or 1_r. Assume that $L_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r_i} \times \mathcal{F}_{r_{i+1}})$ for $i = 1, \cdots m$. Let $s_{ij} : \prod_{r=1}^{m+1} \mathcal{F}_r \to \mathcal{F}_{r_i} \times \mathcal{F}_{r_j}$ be the projection to (i,j)-factor. Then by applying the technique used in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we get

$$L_1 \circ L_2 \circ \cdots \circ L_m = (s_{1,m+1})_!(\otimes_{i=1}^m s_{i,i+1}^*(L_i)).$$

Observe that $s_{1,m+1}$ is proper, and the restriction of the complex $\otimes_{i=1}^m s_{i,i+1}^*(L_i)$ to its support, which is smooth and irreducible, is a constant sheaf with a shift and a Tate twist. By the decomposition theorem ([BBD82]), we see that the complex (8) is a semisimple complex.

3.6. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{r,r'}^d$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r'})$ whose objects are direct sums of $L[n](m)$ for various $n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and various L which is a simple direct summand of a complex of form (8).

Let $\mathbf{Q}_{r,r'}^d$ be the split Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{Q}_{r,r'}^d$, i.e., $\mathbf{Q}_{r,r'}^d$ is the abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{Q}_{r,r'}^d$ which subjects to the following relation.

$$\langle C \oplus C' \rangle = \langle C \rangle + \langle C' \rangle, \quad \forall C, C' \in \mathcal{Q}_{r,r'}^d.$$

Let $\mathbf{Q}^d = \oplus_{r,r' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbf{Q}_{r,r'}^d$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$. Here t is considered as an indeterminate. We define an $\mathcal{A}$-module structure on $\mathbf{Q}^d$ as follows.

$$v \cdot \langle A \rangle = \langle A[1](\frac{1}{2}) \rangle, \quad t \cdot \langle A \rangle = \langle A(\frac{1}{2}) \rangle, \quad \forall \langle A \rangle \in \mathbf{Q}^d. \tag{9}$$

Since $(A \oplus B)[1] = A[1] \oplus B[1]$ and $(A \oplus B)(1) = A(1) \oplus B(1)$, this action is well-defined.

By Lemma 2.8 and (3.6), there is a well-defined bilinear map on $\mathbf{Q}^d$ induced by the convolution product " $\circ$ ", i.e.,

$$\langle C \rangle \circ \langle C' \rangle = \langle C \circ C' \rangle.$$

It is associative due to Lemma 2.7. Together with "$\circ$", the space $\mathbf{Q}^d$ becomes an associative algebra over $\mathcal{A}$. By an abuse of notation, we simply write A instead of $\langle A \rangle$ for elements in $\mathbf{Q}^d$.

Lemma 3.7. *(a).* $E_{r,r+a} \circ E_{r+a,r+a+1} = [a+1]_{v,t} E_{r,r+a+1}$,
(b). $F_{r,r-a} \circ F_{r-a,r-a-1} = [a+1]_{v,t} F_{r,r-a-1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and $\mathcal{A}$-action on $\mathbf{Q}^d$ defined above, we have

$$E_{r,r+a} \circ E_{r+a,r+a+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{a} v^{a-2j} t^a E_{r,r+a+1} = [a+1]_{v,t} E_{r,r+a+1}.$$

The second identity can be proved similarly. ☐

Let $K_r = 1_r[d-2r](\frac{d}{2})$, $K_r^{-1} = 1_r[2r-d](-\frac{d}{2})$ and

$$E = \sum_{r=0}^{d} E_{r,r+1}, \quad F = \sum_{r=0}^{d} F_{r,r-1}, \quad K = \sum_{r=0}^{d} K_r, \quad K^{-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{d} K_r^{-1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{Q}^d$ generated by t^4-1. Let ${}_{\mathcal{A}}S_{v,t}(2,d)=\mathbf{Q}^d/\mathcal{I}$ be the quotient algebra. By the t-action in (9), we have

$$A(2)=A,\ \forall A\in {}_{\mathcal{A}}S_{v,t}(2,d). \tag{10}$$

By (10), we can set $t=\mathbf{i}$ again. Let $S_{v,t}(2,d)={}_{\mathcal{A}}S_{v,t}(2,d)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm1}](v)$.

Proposition 3.8. *E,F,K and K^{-1} in $S_{v,t}(2,d)$ satisfy the relations in (S1)-(S3).*

Proof. By the definition of K and K^{-1}, we have $K\circ K^{-1}=\sum_r 1_r=1=K^{-1}\circ K$.

Let us show that $K\circ E=v^2t^2E\circ K$. By the definition of K and E, we have $K\circ E=\sum_r E_{r,r+1}[d-2r](\frac{d}{2})$, and $E\circ K=\sum_r E_{r,r+1}[d-2(r+1)](\frac{d}{2})=v^{-2}t^2K\circ E$. The identity $K\circ F=v^{-2}t^2F\circ K$ can be shown similarly.

We now show the last equality. By Lemma 3.3 (d), we have

$$\begin{aligned}E_{r,r+1}\circ\ F_{r+1,r}-t^2F_{r,r-1}\circ E_{r-1,r}=&\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{d-2r-1}1_r[d-2j-1-2r](\frac{d-1}{2}-j)\\&-\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{2r-d-1}1_r[2r-2j-1-d](2r-j-\frac{d+1}{2}).\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

If $d<2r$, then the first term on the right hand side of (11) is 0. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}E_{r,r+1}\circ F_{r+1,r}-t^2F_{r,r-1}\circ E_{r-1,r}&=-\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{2r-d-1}1_r[2r-2j-1-d](2r-j-\frac{d+1}{2})\\&=-v^{2r-d-1}t^{2r}\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{2r-d-1}v^{-2j}1_r=-t^{2r}[2r-d]_v1_r=t^{2r}[d-2r]_v1_r.\end{aligned}$$

If $2r<d$, then the second term on the right hand side of (11) is 0. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}E_{r,r+1}\circ\ F_{r+1,r}-t^2F_{r,r-1}\circ E_{r-1,r}&=\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{d-2r-1}1_r[d-2j-1-2r](\frac{d-1}{2}-j)\\&=v^{d-2r-1}t^{2r}\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{d-2r-1}v^{-2j}1_r=t^{2r}[d-2r]_v1_r.\end{aligned}$$

If $d=2r$, then the right hand side of (11) is just 0. In each case, we have

$$E_{r,r+1}\circ F_{r+1,r}-t^2F_{r,r-1}\circ E_{r-1,r}=t^{2r}[d-2r]_v1_r.$$

Therefore

$$E\circ F-t^2F\circ E=\textstyle\sum_r t^{2r}[d-2r]_v1_r.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{K-K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}&=\tfrac{1}{v-v^{-1}}\textstyle\sum_r(1_r[d-2r](\frac{d}{2})-1_r[2r-d](-\frac{d}{2}))\\&=\tfrac{1}{v-v^{-1}}\textstyle\sum_r(v^{d-2r}t^{2r}-v^{-(d-2r)}t^{-2r})1_r=t^{2r}\sum_r[d-2r]_v1_r.\end{aligned}$$

Here we use that $t^4=1$. This shows (S3). ☐

Proposition 3.9. *There exists a unique surjective algebra homomorphism $\chi:\mathbf{U}\to S_{v,t}(2,d)$ by the assignments $E\mapsto E,\ F\mapsto F$ and $K^{\pm1}\mapsto K^{\pm1}$.*

Proof. The claim that χ is an algebra homomorphism follows from Proposition 3.8. We only need to prove that $S_{v,t}(2,d)$ is generated by E,F,K and K^{-1} as an algebra.

Since $E_{r,r+1}=E\circ 1_{r+1}$ and $F_{r,r-1}=F\circ 1_{r-1}$, it is enough to show that 1_r is generated by K for any $0\le r\le d$.

Let $a_{jr}=(v^{d-2r}t^{2r})^j$. By (10), we have $K=\sum_{r=0}^d a_{1r}1_r$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $K^2=\sum_{r=0}^d a_{2r}1_r$. In general, by repeating this process, we have $K^j=\sum_{r=0}^d a_{jr}1_r$. Hence,

$$(K,K^2,\cdots,K^d)^T=A(1_1,1_2,\cdots,1_d)^T,$$

where $A=(a_{jr})_{1\leq j,r\leq d}$ is a Vandermonde matrix which is invertible since $a_{jr}\neq a_{js}$ if $r\neq s$. Therefore, 1_r is a linear combination of $K^j,\ 1\leq j\leq d$. This finishes the proof. □

3.10. Let $\mathbf{M}_d=\oplus_{r=0}^{d}\mathbf{Q}_{r,0}^{d}$ and $M_d=\mathbf{M}_d\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm1}](v)$. Then M_d is the $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm1}](v)$-module spanned by $\{F_{r,0},\forall\ 0\leq r\leq d\}$.

Proposition 3.11. *There is an $S_{v,t}(2,d)$-module structure on M_d defined by*

$$F\circ F_{r,0}=t^r[r+1]_vF_{r+1,0},\ E\circ F_{r,0}=t^{r-1}[d+1-r]_vF_{r-1,0},K\circ F_{r,0}=v^{d-2r}t^{2r}F_{r,0}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}F\circ F_{r,0}&=\ \textstyle\sum_{r'}F_{r',r'-1}\circ F_{r,0}=[r+1]_{v,t}F_{r+1,0}=t^r[r+1]_vF_{r+1,0},\\ K\circ F_{r,0}&=\ \textstyle\sum_{r'}1_r'\circ F_{r,0}[d-2r'](\tfrac{d}{2})=F_{r,0}[d-2r](\tfrac{d}{2})=v^{d-2r}t^{2r}F_{r,0}.\end{aligned}$$

We now show that $E\circ F_{r,0}=[d+1-r]_{v,t}F_{r-1,0}$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$E\circ F_{r,0}\ \ =\textstyle\sum_{r'}E_{r',r'+1}\circ F_{r,0}=E_{r-1,r}\circ F_{r,0}.$$

Let us compute the complex $E_{r-1,r}\circ F_{r,0}$. The support of $p_{12}^*E_{r-1,r}\otimes p_{23}^*F_{r,0}$ is

$$S=\{(F,F',F'')\in\mathcal{F}_{r-1}\times\mathcal{F}_r\times\mathcal{F}_0|F''\subset F'\supset F\}.$$

Observe that p_{13} is a fiber bundle of fiber isomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{d-r}$. Further, the image of p_{13} is $\mathcal{F}_{r-1,0}$. The restriction of $p_{12}^*E_{r-1,r}\otimes p_{23}^*F_{r,0}$ to S is

$$(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[d-r](\frac{d-1}{2})\otimes(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S=(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[d-r](\frac{d-1}{2}).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}E_{r-1,r}\circ F_{r,0}&=(p_{13})_!(p_{12}^*E_{r-1,r}\otimes p_{23}^*F_{r,0})=(p_{13})_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_S[d-r](\frac{d-1}{2})\\ &=\oplus_{k=0}^{d-r}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r-1,0}}[d-r-2k](\frac{d-1}{2}-k)=t^{r-1}[d-r+1]_vF_{r-1,0}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition follows. □

4. A categorification of tensor product module

4.1. Let $\mathcal{C}_{r,r'}$ be the category of functors from $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r'})$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}\mathcal{F}_r & \mathcal{F}_r\times\mathcal{F}_{r'} \arrow[l, "p_1"'] \arrow[r, "p_2"] & \mathcal{F}_{r'},\end{tikzcd}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are projections. Define a functor

$$\Psi_{r,r'}:\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r\times\mathcal{F}_{r'})\to\mathcal{C}_{r,r'}$$

by $\Psi_{r,r'}(L)=p_{1!}(L\otimes P_2^*(-))$ for any object L in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r\times\mathcal{F}_{r'})$.

Proposition 4.2. $\Psi_{r'',r}(L\circ M)=\Psi_{r'',r'}(L)\Psi_{r',r}(M)$ *for any objects L in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r''}\times\mathcal{F}_{r'})$ and M in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r'}\times\mathcal{F}_r)$.*

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 7.2 in [Li10]. For the reader's convenience, we present an alternative proof here. Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}_{r''} & & \mathcal{F}_{r''}\times\mathcal{F}_r \arrow[ll, "p_1''"'] \arrow[dd, "p_2''"] \\
\mathcal{F}_{r''}\times\mathcal{F}_{r'} \arrow[u, "p_1'"] \arrow[d, "p_2'"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\boxed{1}"] & \mathcal{F}_{r''}\times\mathcal{F}_{r'}\times\mathcal{F}_r \arrow[l, "p_{12}"'] \arrow[ur, "p_{13}"] \arrow[d, "p_{23}"] & \\
\mathcal{F}_{r'} & \mathcal{F}_{r'}\times\mathcal{F}_r \arrow[l, "p_1"] \arrow[r, "p_2"'] & \mathcal{F}_r,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Square $\boxed{1}$ is a cartesian square. By base change formula 2.5 (8) and commutativity, $p_2'^{*}p_{1!}=p_{12!}p_{23}^{*}$, $p'_{1!}p_{12!}=p''_{1!}p_{13!}$ and $p_{23}^{*}p_2^{*}=p_{13}^{*}p_2''^{*}$. Then for any object C in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Psi_{r'',r'}(L)\Psi_{r',r}(M))(C)=p'_{1!}(L\otimes p_2'^{*}p_{1!}(M\otimes p_2^{*}(C)))\\
=\;&p'_{1!}(L\otimes p_{12!}p_{23}^{*}(M\otimes p_2^{*}(C)))=p'_{1!}p_{12!}(p_{12}^{*}L\otimes p_{23}^{*}(M\otimes p_2^{*}(C)))\\
=\;&p'_{1!}p_{12!}(p_{12}^{*}L\otimes p_{23}^{*}M\otimes p_{23}^{*}p_2^{*}(C))=p'_{1!}p_{12!}(p_{12}^{*}L\otimes p_{23}^{*}M\otimes p_{13}^{*}p_2''^{*}(C))\\
=\;&p''_{1!}p_{13!}(p_{12}^{*}L\otimes p_{23}^{*}M\otimes p_{13}^{*}p_2''^{*}(C))=p''_{1!}(p_{13!}(p_{12}^{*}L\otimes p_{23}^{*}M)\otimes p_2''^{*}(C))\\
=\;&(\Psi_{r'',r}(L\circ M))(C).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition. ☐

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}_r & \mathcal{F}_{r,r+a} \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[r, "p'"] & \mathcal{F}_{r+a},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{r,r+a}$ is defined in (3.1) and p, p' are projections. For any $0\leq r,a,r+a\leq d$, we define functors

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{K}_r&=Id[d-2r](\frac{d}{2}):\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)\to\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r),\\
\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+a}&=p_!p'^{*}[a(d-a-r)](\frac{a(d-a)}{2}):\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r+a})\to\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r),\\
\mathfrak{F}_{r+a,r}&=p'_!p^{*}[ar](ar):\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)\to\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r+a}).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. *For any $0\leq r,a,r+a\leq d$, we have*

$$\Psi_{r,r+a}(E_{r,r+a})=\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+a},\ \Psi_{r,r-a}(F_{r,r-a})=\mathfrak{F}_{r,r-a},\ \Psi_{r,r}(1_r)=\mathrm{Id},\ \Psi_{r,r}(K_r)=\mathfrak{K}_r.$$

Proof. We show that $\Psi_{r,r+a}(E_{r,r+a})=\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+a}$. The other identities can be proved similarly. We notice that the shift and Tate twist are the same in the complex $E_{r,r+a}$ and the functor $\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+a}$, respectively. So it is enough to show that $p_!p'^{*}(C)=p_{1!}(\iota_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r+a}}\otimes p_2^{*}(C))$ for any object C in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r+a})$, where p,p',p_1 and p_2 are the obvious maps in the following commutative diagram and ι is the closed embedding.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}_r & \mathcal{F}_{r,r+a} \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[r, "p'"] \arrow[d, "\iota"] & \mathcal{F}_{r+a} \\
& \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{r+a}. \arrow[ul, "p_1"] \arrow[ur, "p_2"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the projection formula 2.5 (7) and the commutativity of the diagram, we have

$$p_{1!}(\iota_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r+a}} \otimes p_2^*(C)) = p_{1!}\iota_!((\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_{r,r+a}} \otimes \iota^* p_2^*(C)) = p_{1!}\iota_!\iota^* p_2^*(C)) = p_! p'^*(C).$$

Lemma follows. □

By Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we can transport results on the complexes E, F and K's to the functors $\mathfrak{E}$, $\mathfrak{F}$, and $\mathfrak{K}$'s. In particular, we have

Lemma 4.4. (a). $\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+a}\mathfrak{E}_{r+a,r+a+1} \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a} \mathfrak{E}_{r,r+a+1}[a-2j](a-j)$.

(b). $\mathfrak{F}_{r,r-a}\mathfrak{F}_{r-a,r-a-1} \simeq \oplus_{j=0}^{a}\mathfrak{F}_{r,r-a-1}[a-2j](a-j)$.

(c). $\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+1}\mathfrak{F}_{r+1,r} \oplus \oplus_{0\leq j<2r-d}\mathrm{Id}\ [2r-2j-1-d](2r-j-\dfrac{d+1}{2}) \simeq$

$$\mathfrak{F}_{r,r-1}\mathfrak{E}_{r-1,r} \oplus \oplus_{0\leq j<d-2r}\mathrm{Id}\ [d-2j-1-2r](\frac{d-1}{2}-j).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{K} = \oplus_r \mathfrak{K}_r, \quad \mathfrak{E}^{(a)} = \oplus_r \mathfrak{E}_{r,r+a}, \quad \mathfrak{F}^{(a)} = \oplus_r \mathfrak{F}_{r,r-a}.$$

These are endofunctors on $\oplus_r \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)$. If $a=1$, we simply denote $\mathfrak{E}^{(a)}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}^{(a)}$) by $\mathfrak{E}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}$). Let $\mathcal{Q}_d^r$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)$ consisting of G-equivariant semisimple complexes. Let $\mathbf{M}_d^r$ be the split Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{Q}_d^r$ and $\mathbf{M}_d = \oplus_r \mathbf{M}_d^r$. We note that $\mathbf{M}_d$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{M}_d$ defined in Section 3.10 since the category $\mathcal{Q}_d^r$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{Q}_{r,0}^d$ defined in Section 3.6. Again, by Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

Proposition 4.5. *For any object C in $\oplus_r\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)$, we have the following identities in $\mathbf{M}_d$.*

$$\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{E}(C) = v^2t^{-2}\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{K}(C), \quad \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{F}(C) = v^{-2}t^2\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{K}(C),$$

$$\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}(C) - t^2\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{E}(C) = \frac{\mathfrak{K}(C) - \mathfrak{K}^{-1}(C)}{v-v^{-1}},$$

4.6. We fix a sequence $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_m)$ of integers such that $\sum_l d_l = d$. To such a $\mathbf{d}$, we fix a partial flag in $V = k^d$ of the form:

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_m = V, \quad |V_l/V_{l-1}| = d_l, \ \forall\ l. \tag{12}$$

Denote by $P_{\mathbf{d}}$ the parabolic subgroup of $G = GL(k^d)$ which fixes all subspace $V_l, \forall\ l = 1, \cdots, m$ in the fixed flag (12). Let $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}^r$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)$ consisting of $P_{\mathbf{d}}$-equivariant semisimple complexes and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}} = \oplus_r \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}^r$. Let $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$ be the split Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-module equipped with the same $\mathcal{A}$-action as (9).

We now assume that $\mathbf{d} = (d)$. In this case, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}^r$ consists of direct sum of the complexes of the form $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_r}[n](m)$ for various $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is the same as $\mathbf{M}_d$ defined in Section 3.10 and Proposition 4.5. Let

$$\xi_r = (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_r}.$$

Proposition 4.7. *For* $\mathbf{d}=(d)$, *we have the following identities in* $\mathbf{M}_d$.

$$\mathfrak{E}\xi_r=t^{r-1}[d+1-r]_v\xi_{r-1},\quad \mathfrak{F}\xi_r=t^r[r+1]_v\xi_{r+1},\quad \mathfrak{K}\xi_r=v^{d-2r}t^{2r-1}\xi_r,$$

Proof. Clearly, $\xi_r=\Psi_{r,0}(F_{r,0})(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_0}$. Proposition follows from Lemma 4.3, Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 4.5. ☐

From Proposition 4.7, if $\mathbf{d}=(d)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$ categorifies the simple $\mathbf{U}$-module Λ_d. In other words,

$$\varrho_d : M_{(d)}\to\Lambda_d,\quad \xi_r\mapsto\xi_r,$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{U}$-modules, where $M_{\mathbf{d}}=\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm1}](v)$.

We now consider the general case $\mathbf{d}=(d_1,d_2,\cdots,d_m)$ such that $\sum_l d_l=d$ for the fixed d. Let $\Xi^{\mathbf{d}}_r$ be the set of all sequences, $\mathbf{r}=(r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_m)\in\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geq0}$, such that $r=\sum_l r_l$ and $r_l\leq d_l$ for all l. To each $\mathbf{r}\in\Xi^{\mathbf{d}}_r$, we associate a subset of $\mathcal{F}_r$ as follows.

$$O_{\mathbf{r}}=\{W\in\mathcal{F}_r \mid |W\cap V_l/W\cap V_{l-1}|=r_l,\forall\ l\}.$$

We claim that $P_{\mathbf{d}}(O_{\mathbf{r}})\subset O_{\mathbf{r}}$. In fact, for any $W\in O_{\mathbf{r}}$, $|P_{\mathbf{d}}(W)\cap V_l|=|P_{\mathbf{d}}(W\cap V_l)|=|W\cap V_l|$. Hence $|P_{\mathbf{d}}(W)\cap V_l/P_{\mathbf{d}}(W)\cap V_{l-1}|=r_l$ for all l. This shows that $P_{\mathbf{d}}(W)\in O_{\mathbf{r}}$. The claim holds. Moreover, $O_{\mathbf{r}}$ is a $P_{\mathbf{d}}$-orbit of $\mathcal{F}_r$ and $\mathbf{r}$ parameterizes the $P_{\mathbf{d}}$-orbits of $\mathcal{F}_r$. So $IC(\overline{O}_{\mathbf{r}})$, $\forall\ \mathbf{r}\ \in\Xi^{\mathbf{d}}$ form an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$, where $\Xi^{\mathbf{d}}=\cup_{0\leq r\leq d}\Xi^{\mathbf{d}}_r$.

Next, we want to define the restriction functor "Res". For a fixed $\mathbf{d}=(d_1,d_2,\cdots,d_m)$ such that $\sum_l d_l=d$, let $\mathbf{d}'=(d_1,d_2,\cdots,d_{m'})$ and $\mathbf{d}''=(d_{m'+1},d_{m'+2},\cdots,d_m)$. Let $d'=\sum_{l=1}^{m'}d_l$ and $d''=\sum_{l=m'+1}^{m}d_l$. Then $d'+d''=d$. For any $r',r''\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}$ such that $r'+r''=r$, let $\mathcal{Q}^{r',r''}_{\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}''}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}^{d'}_{r'}\times\mathcal{F}^{d''}_{r''})$ consisting of all $P_{\mathbf{d}'}\times P_{\mathbf{d}''}$-equivariant semisimple complexes and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}''}=\oplus\mathcal{Q}^{r',r''}_{\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}''}$. Let $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}''}$ be the split Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}''}$.

We now fix a vector space W such that $|W|=d'$. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{F}^{d'}_{r'}\times\mathcal{F}^{d''}_{r''} & Y^{r',r''} \arrow[l, "\kappa"'] \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \mathcal{F}^d_r, \end{tikzcd}$$

where $Y^{r',r''}=\{W'\in\mathcal{F}^d_r \mid |W\cap W'|=r'\}$, $\kappa(W')=(W\cap W',W'/(W\cap W'))$ and ι is the close embedding. We define

$$\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''}=\kappa_!\iota^*[(d''-r'')r'](\frac{d''r'}{2}) : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}^d_r)\to\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}^{d'}_{r'}\times\mathcal{F}^{d''}_{r''}),$$
$$\mathrm{Res}_{d',d''}=\oplus_{r',r''}\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''} : \oplus_r\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}^d_r)\to\oplus_{r',r''}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}^{d'}_{r'}\times\mathcal{F}^{d''}_{r''}).$$

We denote $\mathfrak{E}'_{r,r+1}=\mathfrak{E}_{r',r'+1}\times Id : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r+1})\otimes\oplus_{r'}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r'})\to\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)\otimes\oplus_{r'}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r'})$ and $\mathfrak{E}''_{r,r+1}=Id\times\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+1} : \oplus_{r'}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r'})\otimes\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r+1})\to\oplus_{r'}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_{r'})\otimes\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}_r)$. Similarly, we have notations $\mathfrak{K}'_r,\mathfrak{F}'_{r,r-1}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{K}''_r,\mathfrak{F}''_{r,r-1}$).

Proposition 4.8. *For any* $C_r\in\mathcal{Q}^r_{\mathbf{d}}$, *we have*

$$\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''}\mathfrak{K}_rC_r\simeq\mathfrak{K}'_{r'}\mathfrak{K}''_{r''}\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''}C_r,$$
$$\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''}\mathfrak{E}_{r-1,r}C_r\simeq\mathfrak{E}'_{r',r'+1}\mathrm{Res}^{r'+1,r''}_{d',d''}C_r\oplus\mathfrak{K}'_{r'}\mathfrak{E}''_{r'',r''+1}(r')\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''+1}_{d',d''}C_r,$$
$$\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''}\mathfrak{F}_{r+1,r}C_r\simeq\mathfrak{F}'_{r',r'-1}\mathfrak{K}''^{-1}_{r'',r''}\mathrm{Res}^{r'-1,r''}_{d',d''}C_r\oplus\mathfrak{F}''_{r'',r''-1}(r')\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''-1}_{d',d''}C_r.$$

Proof. The proposition can be proved similarly as Proposition 3.8.3 in [Z07]. We shall give another proof. The first identity is obvious. We only prove the second one and the third one can be proved similarly.

We claim that for any $C_r \in \mathcal{Q}^r_{\mathbf{d}}$, there exist a smooth irreducible variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and a proper map $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{F}_r$ such that C_r is a direct summand of $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$. Let $\widetilde{\iota} : \mathcal{F}_r \to \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{d_1}$ be the embedding map sending $F' \mapsto (F', V_1)$, where V_1 is the fixed vector space in (12). By the argument in Section 2.6.2 in [BL94], $\widetilde{\iota}^* : \mathcal{D}_{P_{\widetilde{\mathbf{d}}}}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{d_1}) \to \mathcal{D}_{P_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathcal{F}_r)$ is an equivalence, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{d}} = (d_2, \cdots, d_m)$. By the argument in Section 3.5, for any object C' in $\mathcal{D}_{P_{\widetilde{\mathbf{d}}}}(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{d_1})$, there exist a smooth irreducible variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ and a proper map $\pi' : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}' \to \mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{d_1}$ such that C' is a direct summand of $\pi'_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}'}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}_r \times_{(\mathcal{F}_r \times \mathcal{F}_{d_1})} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}'$ and $C_r = \widetilde{\iota}^* C$. By base change formula 2.5 (8), C_r is a direct summand of $\pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$, where $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{F}_r$ is the pull back map of π'. This proves the claim.

By the above claim, we may and will assume that $C_r = \pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ for some smooth irreducible variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and a proper map $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{F}_r$. Now consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & X' \arrow[dl, "\iota'"'] \arrow[dr, "s"] & & \\
\mathcal{F}_r & \mathcal{F}_{r-1,r} \arrow[l, "p'"'] \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathcal{F}_{r-1} & X'' \arrow[l, "\iota''"'] \arrow[r, "\kappa''"] & \mathcal{F}^{d'}_{r'} \times \mathcal{F}^{d''}_{r''}
\end{tikzcd} .$$

where $X'' = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_{r-1} \mid |F \cap W| = r'\}$ and $X' = \mathcal{F}_{r-1,r} \times_{\mathcal{F}_{r-1}} X''$. By base change formula 2.5 (8), we have $\kappa''_! \iota''^* p_! p'^* C_r = \kappa''_! s_! \iota'^* p'^* C_r$.

Let $X = \{F' \in F_r \mid |F' \cap W| = r' \text{ or } r'+1\}$. Then X has a partition $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$ with $X_j = \{F' \in F_r \mid |F' \cap W| = r' + j - 1\}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let $Y = Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$ with $Y_1 = \mathcal{F}_{r'} \times \mathcal{F}_{r''+1}$ and $Y_2 = \mathcal{F}_{r'+1} \times \mathcal{F}_{r''}$. Let $Y' = Y'_1 \sqcup Y'_2$ with $Y'_1 = \mathcal{F}_{r'} \times \mathcal{F}_{r'',r''+1}$ and $Y'_2 = \mathcal{F}_{r',r'+1} \times \mathcal{F}_{r''}$. There are obvious maps $\kappa_j : X_j \to Y_j$ and $q'_j : Y'_j \to Y_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let $Z_j = X_j \times_{Y_j} Y'_j$ and $Z = Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & & \widetilde{Z} \arrow[ll] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\pi}'"] & \widetilde{X'} \arrow[l, "\widetilde{b}"'] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\pi}"] \\
\mathcal{F}_r & & Z \arrow[ll, "\iota s'"'] \arrow[dll, "s'"'] \arrow[d, "\kappa'"'] & X' \arrow[l, "b"'] \arrow[d, "\kappa'' s"] \\
X \arrow[u, "\iota"'] \arrow[r, "\kappa"'] & Y & Y' \arrow[l, "q'"'] \arrow[r, "q"] & \mathcal{F}^{d'}_{r'} \times \mathcal{F}^{d''}_{r''},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where b is the map such that $p'\iota' = \iota s' b$, $\widetilde{Z} = Z \times_{\mathcal{F}_r} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{X'} = X' \times_{\mathcal{F}_r} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$. By the base change formula, commutativity of the diagram and the assumption $C_r = \pi_!(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$, we have

$$\kappa''_! s_! \iota'^* p'^* C_r = q_! \kappa'_! b_! b^* s'^* \iota^* C_r = q_! \kappa'_! b_! \widetilde{\pi}_! (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{X'}}.$$

We further have a partition of $\widetilde{X'} = \widetilde{X'_1} \sqcup \widetilde{X'_2}$ and $\widetilde{Z} = \widetilde{Z_1} \sqcup \widetilde{Z_2}$. Let $b_1 = b|_{X'_1}$ and $b_2 = b|_{X'_2}$ be the restriction of b to X'_1 and X'_2, respectively. It is not difficulty to check that b_1 is a vector bundle of rank r' and b_2 is an identity map. Let $\widetilde{b}_j = \widetilde{b}|_{\widetilde{X'_j}}$. Then $\widetilde{b}_1$ is a vector bundle of rank r' and $\widetilde{b}_2$ is an identity map. By [L93, 8.1.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_! b^* s'^* \iota^* C_r &= b_! \widetilde{\pi}_! (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{X'}} = b_{1!} \widetilde{\pi}_{1!} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{X'_1}} \oplus b_{2!} \widetilde{\pi}_{2!} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{X'_2}} \\ &= \widetilde{\pi}'_1 (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{Z}_1} [-2r'] \oplus \widetilde{\pi}'_2 (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\widetilde{Z}_2} = s'^*_1 \iota^*_1 C_r [-2r'] \oplus s'^*_2 \iota^*_2 C_r, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\pi}_j$ and $\widetilde{\pi}'_j$ are $\widetilde{\pi}$ and $\widetilde{\pi}'$ restriction to $\widetilde{X'_j}$ and $\widetilde{Z_j}$, respectively. Let κ_j (resp. s'_j, κ'_j, q'_j) be the map κ (resp. s', κ', q') restricted to X_j (resp. Z_j, Z_j, Y'_j). If we ignore Tate twists, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''} \mathfrak{E}_{r-1,r} C_r \simeq q_{1!} \kappa'_{1!} s'^*_1 \iota^*_1 C_r [N-2r'] \oplus q_{2!} \kappa'_{2!} s'^*_2 \iota^*_2 C_r [N] \\ \simeq\ &\mathfrak{K}'_{r'} \mathfrak{E}''_{r'',r''+1} \mathrm{Res}^{r',r''+1}_{d',d''} C_r [N-2r'-N_1] \oplus \mathfrak{E}'_{r',r'+1} \mathrm{Res}^{r'+1,r''}_{d',d''} C_r [N-N_2], \end{aligned}$$

where $N = d-r+(d''-r'')r'$, $N_1 = d'-2r'+d''-r''-1+(d''-r''-1)r'$ and $N_2 = d'-r'-1+(d''-r'')(r'+1)$. By using $d = d'+d''$ and $r'+r'' = r-1$, we have $N-2r'-N_1 = 0 = N-N_2$. This shows that the complexes on both sides in the second identity are isomorphic to each other if the Tate twists are ignored.

Next, we check that the weights of complexes on both sides in each identity are the same. Recall that $\kappa_! \iota^*$ in Diagram (4.6) is equivalent to a hyperbolic localization functor ([B03]). By [B03, Theorem 8], the functor $\kappa_! \iota^*$ preserves purities and weights of equivariant complexes. Then

$$\mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''} \mathfrak{E}_{r-1,r} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_r}) = -r+1-r'r''.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{wt}(\mathfrak{E}'_{r',r'+1} \mathrm{Res}^{r'+1,r''}_{d',d''} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_r}) = -(r'+1)r''-r' \\ &\mathrm{wt}(\mathfrak{K}'_{r'} \mathfrak{E}''_{r'',r''+1} (r') \mathrm{Res}^{r',r''+1}_{d',d''} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_r}) = -r'(r''+1)-r''-4r'. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

(4.6) and (13) are the same by using $r'+r'' = r-1$ and $4r' \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. This shows that the second equality holds. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{wt}(\mathrm{Res}^{r',r''}_{d',d''} \mathfrak{F}_{r+1,r} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_r}) = -r-r'r'', \\ &\mathrm{wt}(\mathfrak{F}'_{r',r'-1} \mathfrak{K}''^{-1}_{r'',r''} \mathrm{Res}^{r'-1,r''}_{d',d''} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_r}) = -(r'-1)r''+2r''-r'+1, \quad \text{and} \\ &\mathrm{wt}(\mathfrak{F}''_{r'',r''-1}(r')) \mathrm{Res}^{r',r''-1}_{d',d''} (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)_{\mathcal{F}_r}) = -r'(r''-1)-r''+1-2r'. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By using $r'+r'' = r+1$ and $4r'' \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, the three quantities in (14) are the same. This shows the last equality in (4.8). □

Let $r_{d',d''} : \mathbf{M_d} \to \mathbf{M_{d'}} \otimes \mathbf{M_{d''}}$ be the induced $\mathcal{A}$-linear map by $\mathrm{Res}_{d',d''}$. An argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.8.1 in [Z07] shows that $r_{d',d''}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-linear isomorphism. Let $\varrho_{\mathbf{d}} = \otimes_l \varrho_{d_l} : M_{\mathbf{d}} \to \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}$, where ϱ_{d_l} is defined in (4.6).

Theorem 4.9. *(a) $\varrho_{\mathbf{d}} : M_{\mathbf{d}} \to \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{U}$-module.*

(b) The image of $\{IC(\overline{O_{\mathbf{r}}}) \mid \mathbf{r} \in \Xi^{\mathbf{d}}\}$ under the map $\varrho_{\mathbf{d}}$ form an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}$. Moreover, the structure constants of $E \cdot IC(\overline{O_{\mathbf{r}}})$, $F \cdot IC(\overline{O_{\mathbf{r}}})$ and $K \cdot IC(\overline{O_{\mathbf{r}}})$ are in $t^a \mathbb{N}[v^{\pm 1}]$ for various $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with respect to the basis in (b).

Proof. (a) follows from Proposition 4.8 and (2.1). The first statement of part (b) follows from the fact that $\mathbf{r} \in \Xi^{\mathbf{d}}_r$ parameterizes the $P_{\mathbf{d}}$-orbits of $\mathcal{F}_r$. The second statement of (b) follows from the first one. □

4.10. Recall that the Ext groups of any two objects L, M in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ are defined by

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(L, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(L, M[n]).$$

We list some properties of Ext groups as follows.

(a) $\mathrm{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(L[n], M[m]) = \mathrm{Ext}^{j-n+m}_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(L, M)$;

(b) If both L and M are perverse sheaves, then $\mathrm{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(L, M) = 0$ for any $j < 0$;

(c) Suppose that L and M are both simple perverse sheaves, then $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^0_{\mathcal{D}(X)}(L, M) = 1$ if $L \simeq M$ and 0 otherwise.

Given any two pure complexes L, M in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$, we define

$$(L, M) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathrm{Ext}^j(L, \mathbb{D}M) v^{-j} t^{-\mathrm{wt}(L)-\mathrm{wt}(M)}.$$

Since any complex in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is semisimple, the above definition can be extended to any two complexes in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$. This defines a bilinear form on $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

Proposition 4.11. *For any two complexes L, M in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$, we have*

$$(\mathfrak{K}_r L, M) = (L, \mathfrak{K}_r M),\ (\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+1} L, M) = (L, \mathfrak{K}_{r+1}\mathfrak{F}_{r+1,r} M[1](-\frac{2r+1}{2})),$$
$$(\mathfrak{F}_{r+1,r} L, M) = (L, \mathfrak{K}_r^{-1}\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+1} M[1](\frac{2r+1}{2})).$$

Proof. The first equality is obvious. We now show the second equality and the third one can be proved similarly. By the definition of $\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+1}$ in (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^j(p_! p'^* L[d-1-r](\tfrac{d-1}{2}), \mathbb{D}M) &= \mathrm{Ext}^j(L, p'_* p^! [-d+1+r](-\tfrac{d-1}{2})\mathbb{D}M)\\ = \mathrm{Ext}^j(L, \mathbb{D}(p'_! p^*[d-1-r](\tfrac{d-1}{2})M)) &= \mathrm{Ext}^j(L, \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{r+1}\mathfrak{F}_{r+1,r}[1]M)).\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that both L and M are pure complexes. By (4.10), we have

$$(\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+1} L, M) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathrm{Ext}^j(\mathfrak{E}_{r,r+1} L, \mathbb{D}M) v^{-j} t^{-\mathrm{wt}(L)-\mathrm{wt}(M)+r}$$
$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathrm{Ext}^j(L, \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{K}_{r+1}\mathfrak{F}_{r+1,r}[1]M)) v^{-j} t^{-\mathrm{wt}(L)-\mathrm{wt}(M)+r} = (L, \mathfrak{K}_{r+1}\mathfrak{F}_{r+1,r} M[1](-\frac{2r+1}{2})).$$

Proposition follows. □

We define an algebra isomorphism $\rho : S_{v,t}(2, d) \to (S_{v,t}(2, d))^{op}$ by

$$\rho(1_r) = 1_r, \quad \rho(E_{r,r+1}) = v t^{-2r-2} K_r F_{r+1,r}, \quad \rho(F_{r+1,r}) = v t^{2r} K_r E_{r,r+1}.$$

Corollary 4.12. *For any two isomorphism classes L, M in $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and any $x \in S_{v,t}(2, d)$, we have $(xL, M) = (L, \rho(x)M)$.*

Proof. Corollary follows directly from Proposition 4.11. □

Given any pure complex $L \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$, let

$$\mathfrak{D}(L) = (\mathbb{D}L)(-\mathrm{wt}(L)).$$

Since objects in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$ are semisimple, this defines a functor $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}} \to \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{d}}$. We notice that $\mathfrak{D}^2$ is the identity functor. Let $^- : \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}} \to \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$-linear map sending $L \mapsto \mathfrak{D}L$ and $v \mapsto v^{-1}$. Let $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{d}}$ be the subset of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$ consisting of all x satisfying

$$\overline{x} = x, \quad (x, x) \in 1 + v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}].$$

Recall that a signed basis of a module M is a subset, say B, of M such that $B = B' \cup (-B')$ for some basis B' of M.

Proposition 4.13. *$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is the canonical signed basis of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{d}}$.*

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{d}}$, let L be a representative complex of x. Then we have $\mathfrak{D}(L) \simeq L$. Let $L \simeq \oplus_{i=1}^m L_i'$, where L_i' are all simple complexes. For each L_i', there exists $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $L_i := L_i'[-a_i](-\frac{1}{2}a_i)$ is simple perverse sheaf. Let $a' = \max_i a_i$. Denote by x_i the isomorphic classes of L_i for each i. We have $(x, x) = \sum_{i,j} v^{a_i+a_j}(x_i, x_j)$. Since $(x, x) \in 1 + v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$, by Section 4.10 (b), we have $a' \leq 0$. Hence $a_i \leq 0$ for all i.

On the other hand, $\mathfrak{D}(L) = \oplus_i \mathfrak{D}(L_i)[-a_i](-\frac{1}{2}a_i)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(L_i)$ is still a simple perverse sheaf. Let $a'' = \min_i a_i$. By a similar argument, we have $-a'' \leq 0$. Hence $a_i \geq 0$ for all i. So $a_i = 0$ for all i and, therefore, $L = \oplus_{i=1}^m L_i$ is a perverse sheaf. By Section 4.10 (c), we have $(L, L) \in m + v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$. Hence L is a simple perverse sheaf.

If the weight of L is odd, then $(L, L) \in -1 + v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$. It is a contradiction. Therefore, L is a simple perverse sheaf of weight 0 or 2. ☐

4.14. Let $S_v(2, d)$ be the q-Schur algebra associated to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. By [BLM90] and [D95], $S_{v,t}(2, d)$ is isomorphic to $S_v(2, d) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm}](v)$. We define a $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}](v)$-linear map $\psi_{d,d+2} : S_{v,t}(2, d+2) \to S_{v,t}(2, d)$ by

$$\{A\} \mapsto \begin{cases} \{A - I_{2\times 2}\}, & \text{if } A - I_{2\times 2} \in \Theta_d, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $I_{2\times 2}$ is the identity matrix of rank 2.

Proposition 4.15. *For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\psi_{d,d+2}$ is a surjective algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. By [DJ91] and [D95], $S_{v,t}(2, d)$ is generated by $1_r, E_{r,r+1}$ and $F_{r,r-1}$, $\forall 0 \leq r \leq d$ and subjects to the relations given by Lemma 3.3 (a),(b),(c) and (3.6). By (4.14), we have

$$\psi_{d,d+2}(E_{r,r+1}) = tE_{r-1,r}, \quad \psi_{d,d+2}(F_{r,r-1}) = tF_{r-1,r-2}, \quad \psi_{d,d+2}(1_r) = 1_{r-1}.$$

$\psi_{d,d+2}$ is surjective follows from the fact that $1_r, E_{r,r+1}$ and $F_{r,r-1}$ are algebraic generators of $S_{v,t}(2, d)$. The rest is to show that $\psi_{d,d+2}$ is compatible with the defining relations of $\mathbf{Q}_s^{d+2}$. We only check the relation (3.6) and the rest relations can be checked similarly. By the definition of K_r, we have $\psi_{d,d+2}(K_r) = v^{d+2-2r}t^{2r}\psi_{d,d+2}(1_r) = v^{d+2-2r}t^{2r}1_{r-1} = t^2K_{r-1}$. So

$$\psi_{d,d+2}(E_{r,r+1} \circ F_{r+1,r} - t^2F_{r,r-1} \circ E_{r-1,r}) = \psi_{d,d+2}(\frac{K_r - K_r^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}) = t^2\frac{K_{r-1} - K_{r-1}^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{d,d+2}(E_{r,r+1}) \circ \psi_{d,d+2}(F_{r+1,r}) - t^2\psi_{d,d+2}(F_{r,r-1}) \circ \psi_{d,d+2}(E_{r-1,r}) \\ = \ & t^2(E_{r-1,r} \circ F_{r,r-1} - t^2F_{r-1,r-2} \circ E_{r-2,r-1}) = t^2\frac{K_{r-1} - K_{r-1}^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition follows. ☐

5. The modified quantum algebra $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ and weight modules

5.1. A $\mathbf{U}$-module M is called a *weight module* if there is a decomposition of vector spaces $M = \oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda^\pm$ such that

$$M_\lambda^+ = \{m \in M \mid K\cdot m = v^\lambda t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)} m\}, \text{ and } M_\lambda^- = \{m\in M \mid K\cdot m = -v^\lambda t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)} m\},$$

where $p(\lambda)=0$ if λ is even and 1 otherwise. The subspaces $M_\lambda^\pm$ are called the weight spaces of M. Let $\mathcal{C}^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^-$) be the category whose objects are weight modules of the form $M=\oplus_\lambda M_\lambda^+$ (resp. $M=\oplus_\lambda M_\lambda^-$) of $\mathbf{U}$ and morphisms are $\mathbf{U}$-linear maps.

The *modified quantum superalgebra* $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ associated to $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ is defined to be an associative $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm1}](v)$-algebra without unit, generated by $1_\lambda, E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}$ and $F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}$, $\forall\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}$ and subject to the following defining relations.

$$\begin{aligned} &1_\lambda 1_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}1_\lambda, \\ &E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}\circ 1_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda-2,\lambda'}E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}, \qquad 1_{\lambda'}\circ E_{\lambda,\lambda-2} = \delta_{\lambda',\lambda}E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}, \\ &F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}\circ 1_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda+2,\lambda'}F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}, \qquad 1_{\lambda'}\circ F_{\lambda,\lambda+2} = \delta_{\lambda',\lambda}F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}F_{\lambda-2,\lambda} - t^2 F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}E_{\lambda+2,\lambda} = t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)}[\lambda]_v 1_\lambda. \tag{16}$$

Let $\dot{\mathcal{C}}$ be the category of unital $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-modules in the sense of Lusztig [L93, 23.1.4]. Given a weight $\mathbf{U}$-module $M=\oplus_\lambda M_\lambda^+$, we define a $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-module structure on M as follows.

$$E_{\lambda'+2,\lambda'}\cdot m = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}E\cdot m,\ F_{\lambda'-2,\lambda'}\cdot m = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}F\cdot m \text{ and } 1_{\lambda'}\cdot m = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}m, \text{ for any } m\in M_\lambda^+.$$

This $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-module structure is well-defined. To prove this, we only need to check the relation (16). The rest are obvious. For any $m\in M_\lambda^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}F_{\lambda-2,\lambda} - t^2F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}E_{\lambda+2,\lambda})\cdot m = (EF-t^2FE)\cdot m \\ &= \frac{K-K^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}m = \frac{v^\lambda t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)} - v^{-\lambda}t^{\lambda+p(\lambda)}}{v-v^{-1}}m = t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)}[\lambda]_v 1_\lambda m, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from $t^{\lambda+p(\lambda)} = t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)}$. It is clear that a homomorphism $f: M\to N$ in $\mathcal{C}^+$ becomes a homomorphism in $\dot{\mathcal{C}}$ if M and N are regarded as $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-modules. The above analysis provides us with a functor

$$\eta: \mathcal{C}^+ \to \dot{\mathcal{C}}. \tag{17}$$

Conversely, given a $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-module M, let $M_\lambda^+ = 1_\lambda\cdot M$. By using Lemma 3.3 (a), one can easily show that $M_\lambda^+\cap M_{\lambda'}^+ = \{0\}$ if $\lambda\neq\lambda'$. So we have $M=\oplus_\lambda M_\lambda^+$ as a vector space. We now define a $\mathbf{U}$-module structure on M by $E\cdot m = E_{\lambda+2,\lambda}\cdot m$, $K\cdot m = v^\lambda t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)}m$ and $F\cdot m = F_{\lambda-2,\lambda}\cdot m$ for any $m\in M_\lambda^+$. Similarly, we can check that this $\mathbf{U}$-module structure is well-defined. This defines a functor

$$\eta': \dot{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathcal{C}^+. \tag{18}$$

It is clear that $\eta\eta'$ and $\eta'\eta$ are identity functors on $\dot{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^+$, respectively. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *The functors η and η' in (17) and (18) establish an isomorphism of categories between $\mathcal{C}^+$ and $\dot{\mathcal{C}}$.*

Note that the notion of an isomorphism of categories is stronger than the notion of an equivalence of categories. We thank Jon Kujawa for pointing out this to us.

5.3. Recall that $E_{r,r+a}$ (resp. $F_{r,r-a}$ and 1_r) is the short notation for $E_{d-2r,d-2(r+a)}$ (resp. $F_{d-2r,d-2(r-a)}$ and 1_{d-2r}). We shall use the original notations in this section. By Lemma 3.3, there is a unique surjective algebra homomorphism

$$\phi_d : \dot{\mathbf{U}} \to S_{v,t}(2,d),$$

defined by $E_{\lambda,\lambda-2} \mapsto t^{-\frac{d-p(d)}{2}} E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}$, $F_{\lambda,\lambda+2} \mapsto t^{-\frac{d-p(d)}{2}} F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}$ and $1_\lambda \mapsto 1_\lambda$. By checking the image of the generators, we have $\psi_{d,d+2}\phi_{d+2} = \phi_d$.

5.4. Recall that the *modified quantum algebra* $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ associated to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}](v)$-algebra without unit, generated by $\widetilde{1}_\lambda$, $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda,\lambda-2}$ and $\widetilde{F}_{\lambda,\lambda+2}$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and subjects to the analogous relations of (15) and the following one.

$$\widetilde{E}_{\lambda,\lambda-2}\widetilde{F}_{\lambda-2,\lambda} - \widetilde{F}_{\lambda,\lambda+2}\widetilde{E}_{\lambda+2,\lambda} = [\lambda]_v \widetilde{1}_\lambda.$$

Theorem 5.5. *(a) The assignments* $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda,\lambda-2} \mapsto t^{\lambda+p(\lambda)} E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}$, $\widetilde{F}_{\lambda,\lambda+2} \mapsto F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}$ *and* $\widetilde{1}_\lambda \mapsto 1_\lambda$, *for any* $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, *define a unique algebra isomorphism* $\varphi : \dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \to \dot{\mathbf{U}}$.

(b) The algebra $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ *is isomorphic to the analogous algebra in* [CW12].

(c) There is a basis in $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ *whose structure constants are in* $\mathbb{N}[v, v^{-1}]$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\widetilde{E}_{\lambda,\lambda-2}\widetilde{F}_{\lambda-2,\lambda} - \widetilde{F}_{\lambda,\lambda+2}\widetilde{E}_{\lambda+2,\lambda} - [\lambda]_v\widetilde{1}_\lambda) \\ = \;& t^{\lambda+p(\lambda)}(E_{\lambda,\lambda-2}F_{\lambda-2,\lambda} - t^2 F_{\lambda,\lambda+2}E_{\lambda+2,\lambda}) - [\lambda]_v 1_\lambda = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can show that the other defining relations of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ get sent to zero by φ. This shows that φ is an algebra homomorphism. Similarly, there is a unique algebra homomorphism $\varphi' : \dot{\mathbf{U}} \to \dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ defined by $E_{\lambda,\lambda-2} \mapsto t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)}\widetilde{E}_{\lambda,\lambda-2}$, $F_{\lambda,\lambda+2} \mapsto \widetilde{F}_{\lambda,\lambda+2}$ and $1_\lambda \mapsto \widetilde{1}_\lambda$. Clearly, $\varphi\varphi' = Id$ and $\varphi'\varphi = Id$. This finishes the proof of (a). Statement (c) follows by taking the basis to be the image of the canonical basis of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ under the isomorphism in (a). The commutator relation (16) can be rewritten as

$$(t^{\lambda+p(\lambda)}E_{\lambda,\lambda-2})(t^{\lambda-1}F_{\lambda-2,\lambda}) - t^2(t^{\lambda+1}F_{\lambda,\lambda+2})(t^{\lambda+2+p(\lambda+2)}E_{\lambda+2,\lambda}) = [\lambda]_{v,t}1_\lambda.$$

By comparing with the commutator relation for the modified quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ in [CW12], we have (b). □

Let $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ be the quantum algebra associated to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ defined over the field $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}](v)$. To avoid any confusion, we shall denote by $\widetilde{E}, \widetilde{F}, \widetilde{K}^{\pm 1}$ the standard generators of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$. Recall that a $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$-module M is called a *weight module of type 1* if there is a decomposition of vector spaces $M = \oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda$ such that $M_\lambda = \{m \in M \mid \widetilde{K} \cdot m = v^\lambda m\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ be the category whose objects are weight $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$-modules of type 1 and morphisms are $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$-linear maps. Similarly, we can define the category $\mathcal{C}^-(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ of weight modules of type -1. By a similar argument, $\mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is equivalent to the category of unital $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$-modules. By Theorem 5.5, we have

Proposition 5.6. *The category* $\mathcal{C}^+$ *is isomorphic to the category* $\mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$.

Note that a functor of equivalence $\Upsilon : \mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \to \mathcal{C}^+$ for Theorem 5.6 can be explicitly defined as follows. For any $M \in \mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$, we define a $\mathbf{U}$-module structure on M by

$$Fm = \widetilde{F}m, \quad Em = t^{\lambda+2+p(\lambda)}\widetilde{E}m, \quad Km = t^{\lambda+p(\lambda)}\widetilde{K}m, \forall m \in M_\lambda.$$

Conversely, given any $\mathbf{U}$-module M, we define a $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$-module structure on M by

$$\widetilde{F}m = Fm, \quad \widetilde{E}m = t^{-\lambda-2-p(\lambda)}Em, \text{ and } \widetilde{K}m = t^{-\lambda-p(\lambda)}Km, \quad \forall m \in M_\lambda^+.$$

This defines a functor $\Upsilon' : \mathcal{C}^+ \to \mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$, inverse to Υ. In particular, if V_d is a simple module of dimension $d+1$ in $\mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$, we have

$$\Upsilon'(V_d) \simeq \begin{cases} \Lambda_d^-, & \text{if } d \equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4, \\ \Lambda_d, & \text{if } d \equiv 3, 0 \pmod 4). \end{cases}$$

By Clebsh-Gordan formula, we have $V_1 \otimes V_1 = V_0 \oplus V_2$. So

$$\Upsilon'(V_1 \otimes V_1) = \Lambda_0 \oplus \Lambda_2^- \not\simeq \Lambda_0 \oplus \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_1 \otimes \Lambda_1.$$

This shows that the functor Υ' is not compatible with the tensor product structures on $\mathcal{C}^+$ and $\mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$.

5.7. By a similar argument as the proof of Proposition 5.2, the category $\mathcal{C}^-$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}^-(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^+ \oplus \mathcal{C}^-$. Note that the highest weight simple modules $\Lambda_d^\pm$, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, are objects in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = \mathcal{C}^+(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \oplus \mathcal{C}^-(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *The category $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$.*

References

[BBD82] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).

[BLM90] A.A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig, R. McPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n*, Duke Math. J., **61** (1990), 655-677.

[BL94] J Bernstein, V. Lunts, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, LNM **1578**, 1994.

[B03] T. Braden, *Hyperbolic localization of intersection cohomology*, Transform. Groups, **8** (2003), no. 3, 209-216.

[CW12] S. Clark, W. Wang, *Canonical Basis for Quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$*, Lett. Math. Phys. **103** (2013), no. 2, 207-231.

[CFLW] S. Clark, Z. Fan, Y. Li, W. Wang, in preparation.

[D95] J. Du, *A note on quantized Weyl reciprocity at roots of unity*, Algebra Colloq. **2** (1995), no. 4, 363-372.

[DJ91] R.Dipper, G. James, *q-tensor space and q-Weyl modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **327** (1991), no. 1, 251-282.

[E12] A.P. Ellis, *Slides on odd symmetric functions*, 2012.

[EKL11] A.P. Ellis, M. Khovanov, A.D. Lauda, *The odd nilHecke algebra and its diagrammatics*, arXiv:1111.1320.

[FL12] Z. Fan, Y. Li, *Two-parameter quantum algebras, canonical basis and categorifications*, submitted. arXiv:1303.2429.

[GL92] I. Grojnowski, G. Lusztig, *On bases of irreducible representations of quantum GL_n*. Kazhdan-Lusztig theory and related topics (Chicago, IL, 1989), 167-174, Contemp. Math., 139, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[HW12] D. Hill, W. Wang, *Categorification of quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear), arXiv:1202.2769v2.

[KKO12] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S.-j. Oh, *Supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:1206.5933

[KKO13] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S.-J. Oh, *Supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras II*, arXiv:1303.1916.

[KKT] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S. Tsuchioka, *Quiver Hecke superalgebras*, arXiv:1107.1039.

[K91] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math J. **63** (1991), 465-516.

[KL09] M. Khovanov, A.D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups. I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.

[KL11] M. Khovanov, A.D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 5, 2685–2700.

[Lan02] E. Lanzman, *The Zhang Transformation and $U_q(osp(1,2l))$-Verma Modules Annihilators*, Algebras and Representation Theory **5**: 235-258, 2002.

[Lau10] A.D. Lauda, *A categorification of quantum sl(2).* Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 6, 3327-3424.

[Lau12] A.D. Lauda, *Slides on odd nilHecke algebras*, 2012.

[Li10] Y. Li, *A geometric realization of modified quantum algebras*, arXiv:1007.5384.

[L90] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447-498.

[L91] G. Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1991), 365-421.

[L92] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases in tensor products*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **89** (1992), 8177-8179.

[L93] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser 1993.

[R06] R. Rouquier, *Categorification of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and braid groups. Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, 137-167, Contemp. Math., 406, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[R08] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023.

[VV11] E. Vasserot, M. Varagnolo, *Canonical bases and KLR algebras*, J. reine angew. Math. **659** (2011), 67-100.

[W09] W. Wang, *Double affine Heke algebras for the spin symmetric group.* Math. Res. Lett., **16** 1071-1085, 2009. arXiv:math.RT/0608074.

[Z07] H. Zheng, *A geometric categorification of tensor products of $U_q(sl_2)$-modules*, Topology and Physics, 348-356, Nankai Tracts Math.,**12**, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008. arXiv:0705.2630.

[Zo98] Y. Zou, *Integrable representations of $U_q(osp(1,2n))$*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **130** (1998), no. 1, 99-112.

Department of Mathematics, University at Buffalo, SUNY, 244 Mathematics Building, Buffalo, NY 14260

E-mail address: `zhaobing@buffalo.edu (Z.Fan), yiqiang@buffalo.edu (Y.Li)`